

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,365	PIECZUL, PAWEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	R. Stephen Dildine	2133	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) R. Stephen Dildine.

(3) _____.

(2) Tanya Jakes.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Notice of allowability contains a typographical error and should have indicated claims 1-36 were allowed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)